	Case 1:23-cv-01180-NODJ-SKO Docume	ent 14 Filed 01/18/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KADEEM EDWARDS,	1:23-cv-01180-NODJ-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADORENIC ENIDINGS AND
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DISMISS CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS
14 15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION,	(ECF No. 13)
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in a civil rights action	
19	filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge	
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On December 4, 2023, the assigned Magistrate Judge issued findings and	
22	recommendations, recommending that this action proceed only on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment	
23	deliberate indifference to serious medical needs claim against Defendant Jane Doe L.V.N., the	
24	remaining claims to be dismissed, and that Defendants California Department of Corrections and	
25	Rehabilitation and John Does 1 through 10 be dismissed from the action. (ECF No. 13.) The	
26	findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and provided Plaintiff 14 days to file	
27	objections thereto. (Id. at 2.) More than 14 days have elapsed, and no objections have been filed.	
28	//	1
	I	I

Case 1:23-cv-01180-NODJ-SKO Document 14 Filed 01/18/24 Page 2 of 2

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 4, 2023 (ECF No. 13) are adopted in full;
- 2. This action proceeds only on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference to serious medical needs claim against Defendant Jane Doe L.V.N.;
- 3. Defendants California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and John Does 1 through 10 are dismissed from this action;
- 4. The remaining claims in the complaint are dismissed; and
- This action is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.
 DATED: January 18, 2024.